AIRBORNE MEASUREMENT OF SEASONAL SNOW IN WESTERN CANADA

B. Menounos¹, K. Mukherjee¹, J. Shea¹ J. Pomeroy²

 UNBC^1 U. Sask.²

Dynamically downscaled snowcover (1 km)



How do we reliably measure snow depth for entire mountain ranges?

How can we best use observations to improve land surface models (snow)?



Pillows and snow courses



Snow course measurements [1950-2011]



6 / 27

Motivation Approach First Results Conclusions

High altitude laser altimetry (UNBC Riegl Q780)



Existing biannual survey areas, Columbia Basin





New (GWF) LiDAR survey areas

120°W



Workflow [glacier mass change]



Helen Lake, Sept, 2017



Helen Lake, April, 2018



Snow depth



Post Coregistration - Elevation change along Rt. 93











Columbia Icefield Elevation Change



Columbia Icefield Elevation Change



Elevation change over glaciers is not snow depth at a point



Kananaskis region: 3 m Planet Lab Imagery, 27 April, 2018



Kananaskis region



Kananaskis region



Kananaskis region: Snowcast/LiDAR Comparison



Acknowledgements: C. Marsh and N. Wayland

Snow depth and elevation, European Alps



Grünewald et al., 2014

Conclusions

- We can reliably measure snow depth, the greatest contributor for mountain SWE variability, over many thousands of square kilometers.
- Depth is primarily related to elevation, topographic complexity (redistribution caused by wind and mass wasting, shading) and windward/leeward location of individual mountain ranges.
- Snow depth products will be used for calibration/validation of snow and climate models and to improve theory of snow distribution in complex terrain.

